

Horizontal Gravity Disturbance Vector in Atmospheric and Oceanic Dynamics

Peter C. Chu Department of Oceanography <u>Naval Postgraduate School</u> pcchu@nps.edu http://http://faculty.nps.edu/pcchu/

Presented at the Naval Research Laboratory – Monterey on 29 June 2023

Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release



- (1) Gravity = Newton's Gravitational Acceleration
- + Centrifugal Acceleration
- (2) Untrue gravitation in METOC.
- (3) True gravitation in geodesy
- (4) Gravity Disturbance Vector,

 $\delta g = True \ gravitation - Untrue \ gravitation$

- (5) $\delta \mathbf{g}$ is the most important variable in Geodesy.
- (6) $\delta \mathbf{g}$ has never been considered in METOC.
- (7) $\delta \mathbf{g}$ is important in atmospheric and oceanic dynamics.





 M_1 and M_2 are two point-masses (no volume).

Newton's Gravitational Constant: $G = 6.67408 \times 10^{-11} \text{Nm}^2 \text{kg}^{-2}$

NAVAL

SCHOOL

POSTGRADUATE

3



Earth Gravitation in METOC





Newton's gravitation is the volume integration over all the point masses inside the solid Earth to the point mass in atmosphere and oceans.





$$\delta \mathbf{F}_{N} = \left[\mathbf{F}_{N}(\mathbf{r}_{A}) - \mathbf{F}_{N}^{(O)}(\mathbf{r}_{A}) \right] = m_{A} G \iiint_{\Pi} \frac{\left[\sigma(\mathbf{r}) - \sigma_{0} \right]}{\left| \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{A} \right|^{3}} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{A}) d\Pi$$

 σ_0 = Average Mass Density of the Solid Earth

$$\delta \mathbf{g} = \frac{\delta \mathbf{F}_N}{m_A} = \text{Gravity Disturbance Vector}$$

$$\delta \mathbf{g} = 0$$
 if $\sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma_0$ (const)

Uniform mass density $\rightarrow \delta \mathbf{g} = 0$



$\mathbf{F}_N \rightarrow$ Newton's gravitational acceleration

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{F}_N + \mathbf{A}_C$$

 $A_C \rightarrow$ Centrifugal Acceleration

Make the centrifugal acceleration (A_C) vanish in atmospheric and oceanic equations of motion



- (1) The gravity should never be split into Newton's gravitational acceleration and centrifugal acceleration.
- (2) The centrifugal acceleration never occurs in any dynamic equations of atmosphere and oceans.



Spherical Geopotential



(1) Spherical Geopotential \rightarrow No component of A_c on the Spherical Geopotential Surfaces \rightarrow Error in A_c

(2) Error in Newton's Gravitational Acceleration \rightarrow Uniform Earth Mass Density



Spheroid Geopotential



(2) Error in Newton's Gravitational Acceleration \rightarrow Uniform Earth Mass Density



True Geopotential Surfaces



 (1) No Error in Newton's Gravitational Acceleration → Non-uniform Earth Mass Density

(2) No Error in Centrifugal Acceleration (A_C)



Deflection of Vertical



Two Difference Vectors Among (k_s, k_e, k_t)

 (1) Gravitational Correction → Horizontal Gravity Disturbance Vector

$$\delta \mathbf{g} = g_0(\mathbf{k}_t - \mathbf{k}_e) = g_0 \nabla N$$

 $g_0 = 9.81 \text{m/s}^2$

 $\delta \mathbf{g}$ occurs in the equations of motion in the spheroidal geopotential coordinates.

• (2) Centrifugal Acceleration Error Vector

$$\Delta \mathbf{g} = g_0(\mathbf{k}_s - \mathbf{k}_e)$$

 Δg shouldn't occur in any dynamic equations with the spherical coordinates due to "vanish of A_C in all equations of motion"



(1) Analytical estimation using metrics since metrics for both spherical and spheroidal geopotential coordinates are available: "... which is less than 0.17% in the neighborhood of the Earth's surface. If this approximation is used, the equations are the same as written in spherical polar coordinates (Gill 1982)"

(2) Solutions of the spheroidal (spheroidal geopotential coordinates) and spherical (spherical geopotential coordinates) equations were obtained. The difference between the solutions is likely to be small except perhaps in long-term simulations in which small systematic differences may accumulate (Gates 2004, Beńard 2015, Staniforth 2014).



Currently, δg is neglected in METOC dynamics with the spheroidal geopotential coordinates

Question arises:

What is the effect of δg ?



Objective

Identify the importance of $\delta \mathbf{g}$ in METOC through comparison to other forces such as Coriolis force, pressure gradient force, wind stress (for oceans) using 4 publicly available datasets:

(a) International Center for Global Earth Models (ICGEM) static gravity field model EIGEN-6C4 (<u>http://icgem.gfz-potsdam.de/home</u>) for $N(\lambda, \varphi)$

(b) NCEP/NCAR Reanalysed Monthly long-term mean (effective) geopotential height (Z), wind velocity (u, v), and temperature (T) at 12 pressure levels 1,000, 925, 850, 700, 600, 500, 400, 300, 250, 200, 150, and 100 hPa

(https://psl.noaa.gov/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.derived.pressue.html)

(c) Climatological annual mean temperature and salinity from the NOAA/NCEI WOA18 for the sea water density (ρ) data (from

https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/world-ocean-atlas-2018/)

(d) Climatological annual mean surface wind stress $(\tau_{\lambda}, \tau_{\varphi})$ from the Atlas of Surface Marine Data 1994 (SMD94) (from

https://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/SOURCES/.DASILVA/.)



Geoid Undulation *N* **from EIGEN-6C4**



17



Horizontal Momentum Equation in the Spheroidal Coordinates with Pressure as Vertical Coordinate





NAVAL POSTGRADUATE NCEP/NCAR reanalyzed global long-term atmospheric annual mean (Z, T, u, v) data

Ζ

(a) Z at 1,000 hPa



(b) *Z* at 850 hPa



(c) Z at 500 hPa



(d) *T_a* at 1,000 hPa

 $T(^{o}C)$



(e) T_a at 850 hPa



(f) T_a at 500 hPa



42 40 38 36 34 32 30 28 26 24 32 20 18 16 14 12 10 8 6 Ta^{(*}C)







$$f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{U} = -g_0 \nabla Z + g_0 \nabla N$$

$$B \equiv \frac{O(|\delta \mathbf{g}|)}{O(|\operatorname{Pressure Gradient Force}|)}$$
$$= \frac{O(|\nabla N|)}{O[|\nabla Z|]} = \frac{\operatorname{mean}(|\nabla N|)}{\operatorname{mean}[|\nabla Z|]}$$

$$C \equiv \frac{O(|\delta \mathbf{g}|)}{O(|\text{Coriolis Force}|)}$$
$$= \frac{g_0 O(|\nabla N|)}{O(|f\mathbf{U}|)} = g_0 \frac{\text{mean}(|\nabla N|)}{\text{mean}(|f\mathbf{U}|)}$$



Nondimensional C number





Geostrophic Vorticity

$$\zeta = \frac{1}{f} \nabla^2 \Phi = \zeta_{eff} + \zeta_{gd}, \quad \zeta_{eff} = \frac{1}{f} \nabla^2 \Phi_{eff} = \frac{g_0}{f} \nabla^2 Z, \quad \zeta_{gd} = -\frac{g_0}{f} \nabla^2 N$$

Ekman Pumping Velocity

$$\left| w_{_{Ekman}} = \frac{\zeta}{2\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(\zeta_{_{eff}} + \zeta_{_{gd}} \right), \quad \gamma \equiv \left| \frac{f}{2K} \right|^{1/2} \left(\frac{f}{|f|} \right)$$

G-Number

$$G \equiv \frac{O\left(\left|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{gd}\right|\right)}{O\left(\left|\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{eff}\right|\right)} = \frac{O\left[\left|\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\boldsymbol{N}\right|\right]}{O\left[\left|\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2}\boldsymbol{Z}\right|\right]}$$



Q Vector (q_1, q_2) and Omega Equation

$$\sigma \nabla^2 \omega + f^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial p^2} = -2\nabla \bullet \mathbf{Q} - \frac{\kappa}{p} \nabla^2 J$$

$$\nabla \bullet \mathbf{Q}^{eff} = \frac{R_a g_0}{pf} \nabla \bullet \mathbf{q}^{eff}, \quad \nabla \bullet \mathbf{Q}^{gd} = \frac{R_a g_0}{pf} \nabla \bullet \mathbf{q}^{gg}$$

Non-Dimensional (E_1, E_2, E_3) Numbers

$$E_{1} = \frac{O\left(\left|q_{1}^{gd}\right|\right)}{O\left(\left|q_{1}^{eff}\right|\right)} = \operatorname{mean}\left(\left|J\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}, T_{a}\right)\right|\right) / \operatorname{mean}\left(\left|J\left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}, T_{a}\right)\right|\right)$$
$$E_{2} = \frac{O\left(\left|q_{2}^{gd}\right|\right)}{O\left(\left|q_{2}^{eff}\right|\right)} = \operatorname{mean}\left(\left|J\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial y}, T_{a}\right)\right|\right) / \operatorname{mean}\left(\left|J\left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial y}, T_{a}\right)\right|\right)$$



NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Non-Dimensional Numbers in Atmosphere

Pressure	В	С	G	E ₁	$\mathbf{E_2}$	E ₃
Level	Pressure	Coriolis	Geostrophic	-		Omega
(hPa)	Gradient Force	Force	Vorticity	Q_1	Q ₂	Equation
1,000	0.4052	0.6168	0.6712	1.4268	0.5482	2.584
925	0.4151	0.5086	0.7539	1.6708	0.6205	3.366
850	0.4176	0.4724	0.8534	1.9850	0.7247	4.510
700	0.3836	0.3829	1.1078	2.8620	0.9097	6.911
600	0.3435	0.3327	1.3344	3.3175	1.0570	8.622
500	0.2849	0.2783	1.3381	3.3550	1.0077	8.797
400	0.2298	0.2241	1.2529	3.3121	0.9395	8.834
300	0.1861	0.1797	1.1169	3.0013	0.8091	7.495
250	0.1713	0.1645	1.0701	3.2204	0.7786	7.590
200	0.1630	0.1573	1.0564	3.1943	0.6829	7.667
150	0.1639	0.1611	1.0955	3.4567	0.7789	9.165
100	0.1869	0.1837	1.2109	4.3834	0.9965	11.713
Mean	0.2792	0.3052	1.0718	2.9313	0.8211	7.271



δg in Ocean Dynamics

Horizontal Momentum Equation in z Coordinate With the Boussinesq Approximation

$$\rho_0 \left[\frac{D\mathbf{U}}{Dt} + f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{U} \right] = -\nabla \hat{p} + \left(\rho - \rho_0 \right) g_0 \nabla N + \rho_0 (\mathbf{F}_h + \mathbf{F}_v)$$

Reference Density $\rho_0 = 1,028 \text{ kg/m}^3$ \mathbf{F}_h and \mathbf{F}_v are the
horizontal and
vertical frictional
forcesReference Pressure $p_0 = -\rho_0 g_0(z - N)$ \mathbf{F}_h and \mathbf{F}_v are the
horizontal and
vertical frictional
forcesDynamic Pressure $\hat{p} = p - p_0$ $\hat{p} = p - p_0$ Hydrostatic Balance $\frac{\partial \hat{p}}{\partial z} = -(\rho - \rho_0)g_0$

25



Geostrophic Current and Thermal Wind Relation

$$f\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{U} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla \hat{p} + \frac{\rho - \rho_0}{\rho_0} g_0 \nabla N$$

$$f\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial z} = \mathbf{k} \times \left[-(g_0 / \rho_0) \nabla \rho + \Theta^2 \nabla N \right], \quad \Theta^2 \equiv -\left(\frac{g_0}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}\right)$$

Depth-dependent non-dimensional G number

$$D(z) = \frac{O(|\Theta^2 \nabla N|)}{O(|(g_0 / \rho_0) \nabla \rho|)} \approx \frac{\text{mean}(|\Theta^2 \nabla N|)}{\text{mean}(|(g_0 / \rho_0) \nabla \rho|)}$$



Thermal Wind due to Density

$|(g_0 / \rho_0)\nabla \rho|$ in the unit of Eotvos (E) (1 E = 10⁻⁹ s⁻²)



From NOAA/NCEI WOA18 Data



....

Histograms



WWW.NPS.EDU

28



Thermal Wind due to δg

$|\Theta^2 \nabla N|$ in the unit of Eotvos (E)



From EIGEN6C4

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

1

....

Histograms



WWW.NPS.EDU

30

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

....

Depth-Dependent D Number





Wind-Driven Ocean Circulation Combined Sverdrup-Stommel-Munk Dynamics

$$-A\nabla^{4}\Psi + \gamma\nabla^{2}\Psi + \beta \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\rho_{0}} \left[\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\tau} + g_{0} \int_{-H}^{0} \mathbf{k} \cdot (\nabla \rho \times \nabla N) dz \right]$$

$$J = g_0 \int_{-H}^{0} \mathbf{k} \bullet (\nabla \rho \times \nabla N) dz$$



Wind-Driven Ocean Circulation **Combined Sverdrup-Stommel-Munk Dynamics**

Wind Forcing



Forcing due to δg



Results

(1) Gravity used in meteorology and oceanography is not the true gravity.

(2) The effect of gravity disturbance vector $\delta \mathbf{g}$ in atmospheric and oceanic dynamics is important.

(3) It is urgent and easy to include δg in atmospheric and oceanic dynamics and numerical models.

 Chu, P.C., 2021: True gravity in ocean dynamics Part-1 Ekman transport. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*, 96, 101268,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2021.101268.

Chu, P.C., 2023: Horizontal gravity disturbance vector in Atmospheric dynamics. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*, **102**, 101369, <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037702</u> <u>6523000209</u>.

Thank you very much for listening.

Any comments and questions?

36