Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00963003)

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amc

On a family of Halley-like methods to find simple roots of nonlinear equations

Beny Neta^{a,*}, Melvin Scott ^b

^a Naval Postgraduate School, Department of Applied Mathematics, Monterey, CA 93943, USA ^b 494 Carlton Court, Ocean Isle Beach, NC 28469, USA

article info

Keywords: Basin of attraction Simple roots Nonlinear equations Halley method Euler–Chebyshev method

ABSTRACT

There are many methods for solving a nonlinear algebraic equation. Here we introduce a family of Halley-like methods and show that Euler–Chebyshev and BSC are just members of the family. We discuss the conjugacy maps and the effect of the extraneous roots on the basins of attraction.

Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

In 1694 Halley [\[1\]](#page-4-0) developed the third order method given by

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f_n}{f'_n - \frac{f_n f''_n}{2f'_n}}.\tag{1}
$$

Here, and in the following, we denote $f_n = f(x_n)$ and similarly for the derivatives.

Since the method requires the evaluation of the function and its first and second derivatives, then we can say that the efficiency index (see Traub [\[2\]\)](#page-4-0) is $E = p^{1/d} = 3^{(1/3)} = 1.442$, which is higher than Newton's efficiency index of 1.4142. This is assuming that the cost of the derivatives is the same as the function.

Remark. Wynn [\[3\]](#page-4-0) noted that methods using second derivatives are very useful for evaluating zeros of functions satisfying a second order ordinary differential equation (e.g., Bessel's functions). In such cases the evaluation of second derivatives is trivial and thus the increase in efficiency.

See also Candela and Marquina [\[4\]](#page-4-0), Hernandez [\[5\]](#page-4-0), Melman [\[6\]](#page-4-0) and Scavo [\[7\]](#page-4-0). The method can also be obtained as a special case of Hansen and Patrick's family of methods [\[8\]](#page-4-0)

 $(\alpha + 1)f$

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{(x + 1)m}{\alpha f'_n \pm \sqrt{(f'_n)^2 - (\alpha + 1)f_n f'_n}},
$$
\n(2)

where $\alpha = 1$ and the square root is approximated linearly. It can also be obtained as a member of the family $\overline{6}$ \mathbf{v}

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n + (e-1)\frac{f'_n}{f''_n} \left\{ \left[1 - \frac{e}{e-1} \frac{f_n f''_n}{(f'_n)^2} \right]^{1/e} - 1 \right\},\tag{3}
$$

developed by Popovski [\[9\]](#page-4-0) upon taking $e=-1$.

⇑ Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: bneta@nps.edu (B. Neta), mscott8223@atmc.net (M. Scott).

^{0096-3003/\$ -} see front matter Published by Elsevier Inc. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2013.02.035>

This process was rediscovered by others (e.g., Frame [\[10\],](#page-4-0) Hartree [\[11\]](#page-4-0), Hamilton [\[12\]](#page-4-0), Richmond [\[13\],](#page-4-0) Salehov [\[14\]](#page-4-0), Schröder [\[15\]](#page-4-0) and Wall [\[16\]](#page-4-0)). See also Neta [\[17\]](#page-4-0) for a collection of algorithms for the solution of nonlinear equations and a comparison of their efficiency indices. Recently Petković et al. [\[18\]](#page-4-0) have published a book on multipoint methods that unified many of the methods appearing in the literature.

In order to see the similarity to other methods, we use a different form of Halley's method, that is

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f_n}{f_n'} - \left[\frac{f_n}{f_n' - \frac{f_n f_n''}{2f_n'}} - \frac{f_n}{f_n'} \right].
$$

Upon simplifying the term in brackets, we get

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f_n}{f_n'} - \frac{f_n^2 f_n''}{2(f_n')^3 - f_n f_n' f_n''}.
$$
\n(4)

In this paper we consider the family of methods based on this form of Halley's method (4). Halley's method was originally developed in 1694 and rediscovered by many. There have been many attempts to improve on the method. We will show that some other methods are just special cases of this and find the best member of the family in terms of simpler boundaries of the basin of attraction. Therefore, we can conclude that Halley's method [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is the best third order available as was concluded by Neta et al. [\[19\]](#page-4-0) based on several numerical experiments.

2. Halley-like family of methods

The one parameter family of methods we consider is

$$
x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f_n}{f_n'} - \frac{f_n^2 f_n''}{2(f_n')^3 - Af_n f_n' f_n''}.
$$
\n(5)

Notice that this is just (4) with an additional parameter. Upon choosing $A = 1$ we have Halley's method (4). The choice $A = 0$ yields the well known Euler–Chebyshev method [\[20\].](#page-4-0) This latter method is also a special case of Hansen and Patrick's family [\(2\)](#page-0-0) with $\alpha = 1$ or Popovski's family [\(3\)](#page-0-0) with $e = \frac{1}{2}$. The choice $A = 2$ gives the BSC method [\[21\].](#page-4-0)

Theorem 1. Let $\eta \in I$ be a simple root of a sufficiently differentiable function $f : I \to \mathbf{R}$ for an open interval I. If x_0 is sufficiently close to η , then the method defined by (5) has third-order convergence, and satisfies the error equation

$$
e_{n+1} = \left(-B_3 + (2-A)B_2^2\right)e_n^3 + O(e_n^4),
$$

where $e_n = x_n - \eta$ and $B_i = \frac{f^{(i)}(\eta)}{if^{(i)}(\eta)}$. (6)

Proof. Using Taylor expansion of $f(x_n)$ about η , we have

$$
f(x_n) = e_n(1 + B_2e_n + B_3e_n^2 + B_4e_n^3 + B_5e_n^4 + B_6e_n^5 + O(e_n^6)),
$$
\n(7)

$$
f'(x_n) = 1 + 2B_2e_n + 3B_3e_n^2 + 4B_4e_n^3 + 5B_5e_n^4 + 6B_6e_n^5 + O(e_n^6),
$$
\n(8)

$$
f''(x_n) = 2B_2 + 6B_3e_n + 12B_4e_n^2 + 20B_5e_n^3 + 30B_6e_n^4 + O(e_n^5),
$$
\n(9)

where $B_i = \frac{f^{(i)}(\eta)}{i! f'(\eta)}$ and $e_n = x_n - \eta$.

Dividing (7) by (8) gives us

$$
\frac{J(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = e_n - B_2 e_n^2 + L_3 e_n^3 + L_4 e_n^4 + O(e_n^5),\tag{10}
$$

where

 σ

 $L_3 = -2B_3 + 2B_2^2,$

 $L_4 = -3B_4 - 4B_2^3 + 7B_2B_3$

and similarly upon dividing (9) by (8) we have

$$
\frac{f''(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = 2B_2 + K_1 e_n + K_2 e_n^2 + K_3 e_n^3 + K_4 e_n^4 + O(e_n^5),\tag{11}
$$

where

$$
K_1 = 6B_3 - 4B_2^2,
$$

\n
$$
K_2 = 12B_4 + 8B_2^3 - 18B_2B_3,
$$

\n
$$
K_3 = 20B_5 - 18B_3^2 - 32B_2B_4 + 48B_3B_2^2 - 16B_2^4,
$$

\n
$$
K_4 = 90B_2B_3^2 + 32B_2^5 + 80B_2^2B_4 - 50B_2B_5 - 120B_2^3B_3 + 30B_6 - 60B_3B_4.
$$

We now use Maple to collect all these expansions into [\(5\)](#page-1-0) to have the denominator $1-A/2f(x_n)/f'(x_n)f''(x_n)/f'(x_n)$ given by

$$
1 - A/2(e_n - B_2e_n^2 + L_3e_n^3 + L_4e_n^4)(2B_2 + K_1e_n + K_2e_n^2 + K_3e_n^3 + K_4e_n^4) + O(e_n^5)
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$

and the numerator $(1/2)$ $[f(x_n)/f'(x_n)]^2f''(x_n)/f'(x_n)$ given by

$$
(e_n + B_2 e_n^2 + L_3 e_n^3 + L_4 e_n^4)^2 (2B_2 + K_1 e_n + K_2 e_n^2 + K_3 e_n^3 + K_4 e_n^4)/2 + O(e_n^5).
$$
\n(13)

Therefore when we collect terms we have

$$
e_{n+1} = N_3 e_n^3 + N_4 e_n^4 + O(e_n^5) \tag{14}
$$

where

$$
N_3 = -AB_2^2 - B_3 + 2B_2^2,
$$

\n
$$
N_4 = 12B_2B_3 - A^2B_2^3 - 6AB_2B_3 - 9B_2^3 + 7AB_2^3 - 3B_4,
$$

which indicates that the order of convergence of the methods defined by [\(5\)](#page-1-0) is at least three. The error constant is the coefficient N_3 . This completes the proof. \Box

Remark. As a special case, we get the constant for Halley's method (see also e.g. Traub [\[2\]\)](#page-4-0)

$$
N_3 = -B_3 + B_2^2,
$$

for Euler–Chebyshev method $(A = 0)$ $\overline{}$

$$
N_3=-B_3+2B_2^2
$$

and for BSC method $(A = 2)$

$$
N_3=-B_3.
$$

One may conclude that the BSC method is superior to the others. We will see later that the asymptotic error constant is not the best indicator.

3. Corresponding conjugacy maps for quadratic polynomials

Given two maps f and g from the Riemann sphere into itself, an analytic conjugacy between the two maps is a diffeomorphism h from the Riemann sphere onto itself such that $h \circ f = g \circ h$. Here we consider only quadratic polynomials.

Theorem 2 (Halley's family of methods(5)). For a rational map $R_p(z)$ arising from Halley's method applied to $p(z) = (z - a)(z - b),\; a \neq b,\; R_p(z)$ is conjugate via the Möbius transformation given by $M(z) = \frac{z - a}{z - b}$ to

$$
S(z) = \frac{-z - 2 + A}{-2z + Az - 1} z^3.
$$

Proof. Let $p(z) = (z - a)(z - b)$, $a \neq b$ and let M be the Möbius transformation given by $M(z) = \frac{z-a}{z-b}$ with its inverse $M^{-1}(u) = \frac{ub-a}{u-1}$, which may be considered as a map from $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We then have

$$
S(u) = M \circ R_p \circ M^{-1}(u) = M \circ R_p \left(\frac{ub-a}{u-1}\right) = \frac{-u-2+A}{-2u+Au-1}u^3.
$$

As a special case we see that for Halley's method $(A = 1)$ we have $S(z) = z^3$. For BSC method $(A = 2)$, we have $S(z) = z^4$ and for Euler–Chebyshev $(A = 0)$, we have $S(z) = \frac{z+2}{2z+1}z^3$. \Box

4. Extraneous fixed points

In solving a nonlinear equation iteratively we are looking for fixed points which are zeros of the given nonlinear function. Many iterative methods have fixed points that are not zeros of the function of interest. Those points are called extraneous fixed points (see Vrcsay and Gilbert [\[22\]\)](#page-4-0). Those points could be attractive which will trap an iteration sequence and give erroneous results. Even if those extraneous fixed points are repulsive or indifferent they can complicate the situation by converging to a root not close to the initial guess.

The Halley family of methods can be written as

$$
x_{n+1}=x_n-u_nH_f(x_n).
$$

Clearly the root n of $f(x)$ is a fixed point of the method, since u_n vanishes at n. The points $\xi \neq n$ at which $H_f(\xi) = 0$ are also fixed points of the family, since the second term on the right vanishes.

It is easy to see that for the family of Halley-like methods we have

$$
H_f(\xi) = 1 + \frac{f(\xi)f''(\xi)}{2f'(\xi)^2 - Af(\xi)f''(\xi)}
$$

or

$$
H_f(\xi) = \frac{2f'(\xi)^2 - (A-1)f(\xi)f''(\xi)}{2f'(\xi)^2 - Af(\xi)f''(\xi)}.
$$
\n(15)

Theorem 3. There are no extraneous fixed points for Halley's method.

Proof. For Halley's method [\(1\)](#page-0-0) we have

$$
H_f=\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}\frac{f(\xi)}{f'(\xi)}\frac{f''(\xi)}{f'(\xi)}}.
$$

This function does not vanish and therefore there are no extraneous fixed points. \Box

Theorem 4. There are two extraneous fixed points for Halley-like family of methods. They are the roots of

$$
2f'(\xi)^2 - (A-1)f(\xi)f''(\xi) = 0.
$$
\n(16)

Proof. The extraneous fixed point can be found by solving (15). For the quadratic polynomial z^2-1 this leads to the equation

$$
\frac{(A-5)z^2 - A + 1}{(A-4)z^2 - A} = 0
$$

for which the roots are $\pm \sqrt{\frac{(A-1)}{(5-A)}}$ $\sqrt{\frac{(A-1)}{(5-A)}}$. These fixed points are repulsive if 6 – A > 1, i.e. A < 5. Vrcsay and Gilbert [\[22\]](#page-4-0) show that if the points are attractive then the method will give erroneous results. If the points are repulsive then the method may not converge to a root near the initial guess.

The poles are at $z = \pm \sqrt{\frac{A}{4-A}}$ $\sqrt{\frac{A}{4\pi A}}i$. For the three members mentioned above the poles are on the imaginary axis. One should **not** choose $A \geq 4$ since in those cases we have poles on the real axis. \Box

Remark. Since we are discussing the quadratic polynomial $z^2 - 1$, then theoretically the imaginary axis is the boundary between the two basins, see Kneisl [\[23\]](#page-4-0). Any extraneous root on the imaginary axis will either give erroneous results or complicate the situation as discussed earlier. Any pole will cause the method to diverge and thus it should be on the boundary. In order for the poles and the extraneous roots to be on the imaginary axis, we must have $1 \leq A \leq 4$. In the case $A = 1$ there are no extraneous roots.

Recently Basto et al. [\[24\]](#page-4-0) have experimented with Halley, Euler–Chebyshev and BSC methods. They have constructed basins of attraction for these methods for nine nonlinear equations. They concluded that Halley's method shows the simplest boundaries and confirms the best performance already suggested by the studies made by Scott et al. [\[25\]](#page-4-0) and Neta et al. [\[19,26\].](#page-4-0) Such numerical studies were initiated by Stewart [\[27\]](#page-4-0) and followed by the works of Amat et al. [\[28–31\]](#page-4-0) and Chun et al. [\[32\].](#page-4-0)

Remark. For the BSC and Euler–Chebyshev the extraneous fixed points are repulsive. For Halley's method there are no extraneous fixed points. This is why Halley's method perform better than Euler–Chebyshev and BSC.

References

- [1] E. Halley, A new, exact and easy method of finding the roots of equations generally and that without any previous reduction, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London 18 (1694) 136–148.
- [2] J.F. Traub, Iterative Methods for the Solution of Equations, Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964.
- [3] P. Wynn, On a cubically convergent process for determining the zeros of certain functions. Math. Table & Other Aids Comp. 10, (1956) 97–100; MR 18, p. 418.
- [4] V.F. Candela, A. Marquina, Recurrence relations for rational cubic methods I: The Halley method, Computing 44 (1990) 169–184.
- [5] M.A. Hernández, A note on Halley's method, Numer. Math. 59 (3) (1991) 273–279.
- [6] A. Melman, Geometry and convergence of Euler's and Halley's methods, SIAM Rev. 39 (1997) 728–735.
- [7] T.R. Scavo, J.B. Thoo, On the geometry of Halley's method, Am. Math. Mon. 102 (1995) 417–426.
- [8] E. Hansen, M. Patrick, A family of root finding methods, Numer. Math. 27 (1977) 257–269.
- [9] D.B. Popovski, A family of one point iteration formulae for finding roots, Int. J. Comput. Math. 8 (1980) 85–88.
- [10] J.S. Frame, A variation of Newton's method, Am. Math. Mon. 51 (1944) 36–38.
- [11] D.R. Hartree, Notes on iterative processes, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 45 (1949) 230–236.
- [12] H.J. Hamilton, A type of variation on Newton's method, Am. Math. Mon. 57 (1950) 517–522.
- [13] H.W. Richmond, On certain formulae for numerical approximation, J. London Math. Soc. 19 (1944) 31–38.
- [14] G.S. Salehov, On the convergence of the process of tangent hyperbolas, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 82 (1952) 525–528 (Russian).
- [15] E. Schröder, Über unendlich viele Algorithmen zur Auflösung der Gleichungen, Math. Ann. 2 (1870) 317–365.
- [16] H.S. Wall, A modification of Newton's method, Am. Math. Mon. 55 (1948) 90–94.
- [17] B. Neta, Numerical Methods for the Solution of Equations, Net-A-Sof, Monterey, CA, 2006.
- [18] M.S. Petkovic´, B. Neta, L.D. Petkovic´, J. Dz˘unic´, Multipoint Methods for Solving Nonlinear Equations, Elsevier, 2013.
- [19] B. Neta, M. Scott, C. Chun, Basins of attraction for several methods to find simple roots of nonlinear equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012) 10548– 10556.
- [20] A.S. Householder, The Numerical Treatment of a Single Equation, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1970.
- [21] M. Basto, V. Semiao, F. Calheiros, A new iterative method to compute nonlinear equations, Appl. Math. Comput. 173 (2006) 468–483.
- [22] E.R. Vrscay, W.J. Gilbert, Extraneous fixed points, basin boundaries and chaotic dynamics for Schröder and König rational iteration functions, Numer. Math. 52 (1988) 1–16.
- [23] K. Kneisl, Julia sets for the super-Newton method Cauchy's method and Halley's method, Chaos 11 (2001) 359–370.
- [24] M. Basto, V. Semiao, F. Calheiros, Contrasts in the basin of attraction of structurally identical iterative root finding methods, Appl. Math. Comput., in press.
- [25] M. Scott, B. Neta, C. Chun, Basin attractors for various methods, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2011) 2584–2599.
- [26] B. Neta, M. Scott, C. Chun, Basin attractors for various methods for multiple roots, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012) 5043–5066.
- [27] B.D. Stewart, Attractor Basins of Various Root-Finding Methods, M.S. Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Department of Applied Mathematics, Monterey, CA, June 2001.
- [28] S. Amat, S. Busquier, S. Plaza, Iterative root-finding methods, unpublished report, 2004.
- [29] S. Amat, S. Busquier, S. Plaza, Review of some iterative root-finding methods from a dynamical point of view, Scientia 10 (2004) 3–35.
- [30] S. Amat, S. Busquier, S. Plaza, Dynamics of a family of third-order iterative methods that do not require using second derivatives, Appl. Math. Comput. 154 (2004) 735–746.
- [31] S. Amat, S. Busquier, S. Plaza, Dynamics of the King and Jarratt iterations, Aequationes Math. 69 (2005) 212–2236.
- [32] C. Chun, M.Y. Lee, B. Neta, J. Džunić, On optimal fourth-order iterative methods free from second derivative and their dynamics, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012) 6427–6438.